# THE APPLICATION OF VERBAL ASPECT, DISCOURSE ANALYSIS, DIAGRAMMATICAL ANALYSIS AND CONSTITUENT IDENTIFICATION TO REVELATION 20:1–15: A TESE CASE

by

Geoffrey Randall Kirkland

B.A., The Master's College, 2005 M.Div., The Master's Seminary, 2008 Th.M., The Master's Seminary, 2009

#### **COURSE PAPER**

Submitted to Dr. Arp in partial fulfillment of the requirements for Seminar on NT Hermeneutics and Exegetical Method – NT1 Summer 2010

Clarks Summit, Pennsylvania Friday, July 9, 2010

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	ii
INTRODUCTORY MATTERS	1
VERBAL ASPECT OF REVELATION 20:1–15	2
TRANSLATION OF REVELATION 20:1–15	7
DIAGRAM OF REVELATION 20:1–15	8
IDENTIFICATION OF THE ROLES OF THE CONSTITUENTS IN REVELATION 20:1–15	13
DISCOURSE ANALYSIS OF REVELATION 20:1–15	16
INTERPRETATION OF REVELATION 20:1–15	21
BIBLIOGRAPHY	24

### **ABBREVIATIONS**

Bib Biblica

BibSac Bibliotheca Sacra

CBQ Catholic Biblical Quarterly

JETS Journal of the Evangelical Theological Society

JSNT Journal for the Study of the New Testament

NovT Novum Testamentum

WTJ Westminster Theological Journal

#### INTRODUCTORY MATTERS

#### Introduction

"The Greek language is the beautiful flower, the elegant jewel, the most finished masterpiece of Indo-Germanic thought. Indeed, the syntax of biblical Greek is organized on the most perfect system. . . . [T]he Greek language wrestles with the mind, it parries and thrusts, it conquers as an armed host." The elegance and complexities of the biblical Greek language are manifold and have yet to be unanimously agreed upon. Thus, the study of the New Testament (NT) is one that all exegetes and biblical interpreters must labor diligently so as to ascertain the proper meaning and application of a particular passage upon interpreters today.

#### *Need for the Paper*

This paper seeks to fill in some gaps relating to the book of Revelation with the application of discourse analysis, verbal aspect, and the role of the constituents to a particular passage. It seems that many have omitted the scrutinized study of Revelation because of its complexities and symbolism. Yet, the newer methods of NT exegesis must also be applied to Revelation as they have been to books and passages in other genres in the NT. The application of these NT exegetical disciplines to the present test case in Revelation 20 will hopefully shed light on the passage itself and how the various sciences contribute to the field of NT exegesis and, specifically, to the book of Revelation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Charles Augustus Briggs, *General Introduction to the Study of Holy Scripture: The Principles, Methods, History, and Results of Its Several Departments and of the Whole*, 3 vols., rev. ed. (reprint, New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1900; Grand Rapids: Baker, 1970), 1:64, 67.

#### Purpose of the Paper

This paper will provide a test case in applying some of the newer methods of exegesis by applying them to Revelation 20:1–15. Hopefully the explanation, the process, and the results shed light on these various aspects of study and how they relate to John's Apocalypse.

#### VERBAL ASPECT OF REVELATION 20:1–15

#### Definition of Verbal Aspect

If NT exegesis "refers to the historical investigation into the meaning of the biblical text" then it behooves interpreters to understand how to best go about finding the authorial intent³ of that passage. "Through exegesis, the interpreter reads, examines, and listens to the words of the text as a medium communicating the author's message." It is that message of the author and how he expressed that message in the Greek language that the interpreter endeavors to find. Scholars recognize that the study of the Greek of the NT has arrested the attention of many and because of thorough study primarily in the last few decades grammar

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Gordon D. Fee, *New Testament Exegesis: A Handbook for Students and Pastors*, 3rd ed. (Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2002), 1. Similarly, Cotterell and Turner define exegesis as "the 'bringing to expression of the interpreter's understanding of the author's intended meaning (or, more accurately, the 'discourse meaning') of a text" (*Linguistics and Biblical Interpretation* [Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1989], 77, emphasis original). Porter and Clarke contrariwise surmise that "exegesis is no one single thing, but rather a complex and multifaceted collection of disciplines. The approach or orientation one takes to exegesis, which is most often determined by the particular interests of the interpreter and the questions brought to the text, may only constitute one part of the whole exegetical task" (Stanley E. Porter and Kent D. Clarke, "What is Exegesis? An Analysis of Various Definitions," in *Handbook to Exegesis of the New Testament*, ed. by Stanley E. Porter (Leiden: Brill, 2002), 17–18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> J. Brown writes: "meaning is the communicative intention of the author, which has been inscribed in the text and addressed to the intended audience for purposes of engagement. The author's communicative act when writing a text is an act of intention. Because the concept of 'authorial intention' has been much maligned in recent years, I specify the kind of intention I mean: not simply what an author hopes to communicate (intention as wish or motive) but what an author actually does communicate by intention in a text (communicative intention)" (Jeannine K. Brown, *Scripture as Communication: Introducing Biblical Hermeneutics* [Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2007], 22).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> John H. Hays and Carl R. Holladay, *Biblical Exegesis: A Beginner's Handbook*, 3rd ed. (Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007), 139. They continue: "the text serves as a vehicle for expressing the author's thoughts. The exegete asks, 'What did the author intended to say to the reader(s) through the text?' The text is the 'signal' through which the author's thoughts are transmitted to the reader. The text may lie in the forefront, but ultimately the reader's task is to 'get through' or 'get behind' the text to the author's intended message" (ibid).

of the Greek verb of the NT expressed what scholars call "aspect" as opposed to "time." For instance, R. Young writes: "There is good support for the contention that the morphological features associated with Greek tense indicate only aspect, not time, and that time is established by the context rather than grammatical form." Hence, a definition of verbal aspect must be delineated since this will provide a platform upon which the rest of this paper will stand. Though the specific discipline of "verbal aspect" may be unfamiliar to many interpreters it is by no means new.

Verbal aspect is concerned with the 'viewpoint' of the author toward a particular event that is represented by a verb. Stanley Porter defines verbal aspect as "a synthetic semantic category (realized in the forms of verb) used of meaningful oppositions in a network of tense systems to grammaticalize the author's reasoned subjective choice of conception of a process." That is to say, aspect is "that category of the verb system by means of which an author (or speaker) shows how he *views* each event or activity he mentions in relation to its context."

The key component in these definitions is *viewpoint*. Very simply, aspect shows the way the user of the verb subjectively wants to *view* the action rather than as an objective

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Richard A. Young, *Intermediate New Testament Greek: A Linguistic and Exegetical Approach* (Nashville: Broadman & Holman Publishers, 1994), 105.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For a historical survey of studies in the Greek verb and how aspectual theory arose to the discussion, see Rodney J. Decker, *Temporal Deixis of the Greek Verb in the Gospel of Mark with Reference to Verbal Aspect*, Studies in Biblical Greek 10 (New York: Peter Lang, 2001), 5–28.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> D. A. Carson, "An Introduction to the Porter/Fanning Debate," in *Biblical Greek Language and Linguistics: Open Questions in Current Research*, ed. by Stanley E. Porter and D. A. Carson, JSNTSup 80 (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1993), 21; cf. Daniel B. Wallace, *Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics: An Exegetical Syntax of the New Testament* (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1996), 499–512 (esp. 499).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Stanley E. Porter, *Verbal Aspect in the Greek of the New Testament, with Reference to Tense and Mood*, Studies in Biblical Greek 1 (New York: Peter Lang, 1993), 88.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> K. L. McKay, *A New Syntax of the Verb in New Testament Greek: An Aspectual Approach*, Studies in Biblical Greek 5 (New York: Peter Lang, 1994), 27.

indication of any certain kind of time or action.<sup>10</sup> This means that time does *not* play a crucial role in the verbal form itself. The temporal references can be found in the immediate context rather than in the form itself. Porter writes: "This has placed a greater emphasis upon the study of context, including an appreciation of the importance of discourse analysis."<sup>11</sup>

Porter proposes one of the key elements involved in aspect is the idea of prominence. Proposes one of the key elements involved in aspect is the idea of prominence. That is to say, each verbal form that the author chooses to use to convey a particular action shows that he chose *not* to use other possible verbal forms in the available network. For Porter, there are three aspects. The Perfect tense is the stative aspect. This is the most heavily marked verbal that forms an opposition with the Present and Aorist verbals. Second, the imperfective aspect includes the Present and Imperfect verbals and has a slight basis of emphasis. Third, the perfective aspect comprises the Aorist tense forms and is the least heavily marked and could be called the "default" tense/aspect. In Greek, then, the Aorist form is the background tense which carries the discourse while the Present/Imperfect form is the foreground tense which introduces significant characters or makes appropriate climactic references to concrete situations, typical of the foreground tense. And finally, the frontground tense can be seen in the Perfect form which is a discrete and well-defined form.

Picirilli writes: "though the user did not necessarily go through this process consciously, the choices have increasing significance in this order. The agrist was used when

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Robert E. Picirilli, "The Meaning of the Tenses in New Testament Greek: Where Are We?" *JETS* 48, no. 3 (Sept 2005): 535.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Stanley E. Porter, "The Greek Language of the New Testament," in *Handbook to Exegesis of the New Testament*, ed. by Stanley E. Porter (Leiden: Brill, 2002), 118.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Stanley E. Porter, *Idioms of the Greek New Testament*, Biblical Languages: Greek 2, 2nd ed. (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1999), 22; Porter, *Verbal Aspect*, 90, 109.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Porter, Verbal Aspect, 90.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ibid., 92.

the user sensed no reason to use one of the others and is least significant—the "default" tense, in a manner of speaking. But when the user chooses one of the non-perfective tenses, the imperfective aspect has more significance and the stative even more so."<sup>15</sup>

See the following text from Revelation 20:1–15 that provides a color-coded analysis of the verbal forms and their respective aspectual forms. Then observe FIGURE 1 for the color codes to see how predominant the perfective aspect is in Revelation 20. FIGURE 2 provides the statistical results as to the percentages of aspectual occurrences in Revelation 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Picirilli, "Meaning of the Tenses in New Testament Greek," 538; cf. Stanley E. Porter, "Greek Grammar and Syntax," in *The Face of New Testament Studies: A Survey of Recent Research*, ed. by Scot McKnight and Grant R. Osborne (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2004), 89–92.

Καὶ **εἶδον** ἄγγελον **καταβαίνοντα** ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ **ἔχοντα** τὴν κλεῖν τῆς ἀβύσσου καὶ ἄλυσιν μεγάλην ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ.  $^2$  καὶ ἐκράτησεν τὸν δράκοντα, ὁ ὄφις ὁ ἀρχαῖος, ὅς ἐστιν  $\Delta$ ιάβολος καὶ ὁ  $\Sigma$ ατανᾶς, καὶ ἔδησεν αὐτὸν χίλια ἔτη <sup>3</sup> καὶ **ἔβαλεν** αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἄβυσσον καὶ **ἔκλεισεν** καὶ **ἐσφράγισεν** ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ, ἵνα μὴ **πλανήση** ἔτι τὰ ἔθνη ἄχρι τελεσθη τὰ χίλια ἔτη. μετὰ ταῦτα δεῖ λυθηναι αὐτὸν μικρὸν χρόνον. <sup>4</sup> Καὶ εἰδον θρόνους καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπ' αὐτοὺς καὶ κρίμα <mark>ἐδόθη</mark> αὐτοῖς, καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν <del>πεπελεκισμένων</del> διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ καὶ διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ οἵτινες οὐ **προσεκύνησαν** τὸ θηρίον οὐδὲ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ καὶ οὐκ <mark>ἔλαβον</mark> τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτῶν. καὶ **ἔζησαν** καὶ **ἐβασίλευσαν** μετὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ χίλια ἔτη. <sup>5</sup> οἱ λοιποὶ τῶν νεκρών οὐκ **ἔζησαν** ἄχρι **τελεσθη** τὰ χίλια ἔτη. Αὕτη ἡ ἀνάστασις ἡ πρώτη. <sup>6</sup> μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος ὁ **ἔχων** μέρος ἐν τῆ ἀναστάσει τῆ πρώτη· ἐπὶ τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν, ἀλλ' ἔσονται ἱερεῖς τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ **βασιλεύσουσιν** μετ' αὐτοῦ [τὰ] χίλια ἔτη. <sup>7</sup> Καὶ ὅταν **τελεσθῆ** τὰ χίλια ἔτη, **λυθήσεται** ὁ σατανᾶς ἐκ της φυλακης αὐτοῦ <sup>8</sup> καὶ **ἐξελεύσεται πλανησαι** τὰ ἔθνη τὰ ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρσιν γωνίαις της γης, τὸν Γὼγ καὶ Μαγώγ, συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, ὧν ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν ὡς ἡ ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης. 9 καὶ ἀνέβησαν ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς καὶ ἐκύκλευσαν τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἁγίων καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν <mark>ἠγαπημένην</mark>, καὶ κατέβη πῦρ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ κατέφαγεν αὐτούς. <sup>10</sup> καὶ ὁ διάβολος ὁ πλανῶν αὐτοὺς ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς καὶ θείου ὅπου καὶ τὸ θηρίον καὶ ὁ ψευδοπροφήτης, καὶ **βασανισθήσονται** ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτὸς εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων. <sup>11</sup> Καὶ **εἶδον** θρόνον μέγαν λευκὸν καὶ τὸν **καθήμενον** ἐπ' αὐτόν, οὧ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου **ἔφυγεν** ἡ γῆ καὶ ό οὐρανὸς καὶ τόπος οὐχ **εὐρέθη** αὐτοῖς. <sup>12</sup> καὶ **εἶδον** τοὺς νεκρούς, τοὺς μεγάλους καὶ τοὺς μικρούς, ἐστώτας ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου. καὶ βιβλία ἠνοίχθησαν, καὶ ἄλλο βιβλίον ἠνοίχθη, ὅ ἐστιν τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν οἱ νεκροὶ έκ τῶν γεγραμμένων ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. <sup>13</sup> καὶ ἔδωκεν ἡ θάλασσα τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτῆ καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄδης ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν ἕκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν.  $^{14}$  καὶ δ θάνατος καὶ δ ἄδης ἐβλήθησαν εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. οὗτος δ θάνατος δ δεύτερός ἐστιν, ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρός. 15 καὶ εἴ τις οὐχ **εὑρέθη** ἐν τῇ βίβλῳ τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος, ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός.

#### Color Code

Green = Future Form (unaspectual)

Purple = Perfect form (**stative** aspect)

Red = Aorist form (perfective aspect)

Blue = Present/impf form (imperfective aspect

Percentage Results:

Total verbals

Future 5x 11.6%

Stative 5x 11.6%

Perfective 37x 64%

Imperfective 11x 19%

FIGURE 1 FIGURE 2

#### TRANSLATION OF REVELATION 20:1–15

#### **Greek Text:**

Καὶ εἶδον ἄγγελον καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἔχοντα τὴν κλεῖν τῆς ἀβύσσου καὶ ἄλυσιν μεγάλην ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ. 2 καὶ ἐκράτησεν τὸν δράκοντα, ὁ ὄφις ὁ ἀρχαῖος, ὅς ἐστιν Διάβολος καὶ ὁ Σατανᾶς, καὶ ἔδησεν αὐτὸν χίλια ἔτη 3 καὶ ἔβαλεν αὐτὸν εἰς τὴν ἄβυσσον καὶ ἔκλεισεν καὶ ἐσφράγισεν ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ, ἵνα μὴ πλανήσῃ ἔτι τὰ ἔθνη ἄχρι τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη. μετὰ ταῦτα δεῖ λυθῆναι αὐτὸν μικρὸν χρόνον.

4 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνους καὶ ἐκάθισαν ἐπ' αὐτοὺς καὶ κρίμα ἐδόθη αὐτοῖς, καὶ τὰς ψυχὰς τῶν πεπελεκισμένων διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ καὶ διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ οἵτινες οὐ προσεκύνησαν τὸ θηρίον οὐδὲ τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ καὶ οὐκ ἔλαβον τὸ χάραγμα ἐπὶ τὸ μέτωπον καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτῶν. καὶ ἔζησαν καὶ ἐβασίλευσαν μετὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ χίλια ἔτη. 5 οἱ λοιποὶ τῶν νεκρῶν οὐκ ἔζησαν ἄχρι τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη. αὕτη ἡ ἀνάστασις ἡ πρώτη. 6 μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος ὁ ἔχων μέρος ἐν τῆ ἀναστάσει τῆ πρώτη· ἐπὶ τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν, ἀλλ' ἔσονται ἱερεῖς τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ τοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ βασιλεύσουσιν μετ' αὐτοῦ [τὰ] χίλια ἔτη.

7 Καὶ ὅταν τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη, λυθήσεται ὁ Σατανᾶς ἐκ τῆς φυλακῆς αὐτοῦ 8 καὶ ἐξελεύσεται πλανῆσαι τὰ ἔθνη τὰ ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρσιν γωνίαις τῆς γῆς, τὸν Γὼγ καὶ Μαγώγ, συναγαγεῖν αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, ὧν ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν ὡς ἡ ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης. 9 καὶ ἀνέβησαν ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς καὶ ἐκύκλευσαν τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἁγίων καὶ τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἠγαπημένην, καὶ κατέβη πῦρ ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ κατέφαγεν αὐτούς. 10 καὶ ὁ διάβολος ὁ πλανῶν αὐτοὺς ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς καὶ θείου ὅπου καὶ τὸ θηρίον καὶ ὁ ψευδοπροφήτης, καὶ βασανισθήσονται ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτὸς εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.

11 Καὶ εἶδον θρόνον μέγαν λευκὸν καὶ τὸν καθήμενον ἐπ' αὐτόν, οὖ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου ἔφυγεν ἡ γῆ καὶ ὁ οὐρανὸς καὶ τόπος οὐχ εὑρέθη αὐτοῖς. 12 καὶ εἶδον τοὺς νεκρούς, τοὺς μεγάλους καὶ τοὺς μικρούς, ἑστῶτας ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου. καὶ βιβλία ἠνοίχθησαν, καὶ ἄλλο βιβλίον ἠνοίχθη, ὅ ἐστιν τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν οἱ νεκροὶ ἐκ τῶν γεγραμμένων ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. 13 καὶ ἔδωκεν ἡ θάλασσα τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτῆ καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄδης ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς, καὶ ἐκρίθησαν ἕκαστος κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν. 14 καὶ ὁ θάνατος καὶ ὁ ἄδης ἐβλήθησαν εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. οὖτος ὁ θάνατος ὁ δεύτερός ἐστιν, ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρός. 15 καὶ εἴ τις οὐχ εὑρέθη ἐν τῆ βίβλω τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος, ἐβλήθη εἰς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός.

#### **Author's Translation:**

<sup>1</sup> Then I saw an angel coming down out of heaven having the key of the abyss and a great chain in his hand. <sup>2</sup> And he seized the dragon, the serpent of old, who is the Devil and Satan, and he bound him for a thousand years <sup>3</sup> And he cast him into the Abyss and he shut and sealed it above him so that he might not deceive the nations any longer until the thousand years are completed. After these things it is necessary for him to be loosed for a short time.

<sup>4</sup> Then I saw thrones and they sat upon them and judgment was given to them, and the souls of those who had been beheaded because of the testimony of Jesus and because of the Word of God and those who did not worship the beast nor his image and who did not receive the mark upon their forehead or upon their hand. And they came to life and reigned with

Christ a thousand years. <sup>5</sup> The rest of the dead did not come to live until the thousand years were completed. This is the first resurrection. <sup>6</sup> Blessed and holy is he who has a part in the first resurrection. Over these the second death has no authority, but they will be priests of God and of Christ and they shall reign with him for a thousand years.

<sup>7</sup> And when the thousand years are completed, Satan shall be loosed from his prison and he shall go out to deceive the nations who are in the four corners of the earth—Gog and Magog—to gather them for the war; whose number is as the sand of the seashore. <sup>9</sup> And they went up through the breadth of the earth and surrounded the camp of the saints and the beloved city, but fire came down from heaven and devoured them. <sup>10</sup> And the devil who deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone where the beast and the false prophet are, and they shall be tormented day and night forever and ever.

11 Then I saw a great white throne and Him who sat upon it, from whose presence earth and heaven fled and there was not found a place for them. <sup>12</sup> Then I saw the dead—the great and the small—standing before the throne. And books were opened. And another book was opened, which is the Book of Life, and the dead were judged by the things written in the books according to their deeds. <sup>13</sup> And the sea gave up the dead which were in it and death and Hades gave up the dead which were in them, and they were judged—each one—according to their deeds. <sup>14</sup> And death and Hades were cast into the Lake of Fire. This is the second death—the Lake of Fire. <sup>15</sup> And if anyone was not found written in the Book of Life, he was cast into the Lake of Fire

#### **DIAGRAM OF REVELATION 20:1–15**

#### Introduction

An invaluable step early in the hermeneutical process is recognizing that the biblical author develops an argument and pursues a line of thought in connecting words, phrases, clauses, and sentences together. The exegetical process becomes easier when the interpreter diagrams the flow of the author's argument in the particular pericope. "The interpreter who endeavors to do [diagramming] will undoubtedly acquire more confidence in doing exegesis, knowing that he or she can piece together the structure of a passage and explain that structure to others." So important is exegetical diagramming that Guthrie and Duvall surmise "grammatical diagramming can serve as a primary tool for clarifying the relationships

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thomas R. Schreiner, *Interpreting the Pauline Epistles*, Guides to New Testament Exegesis 5 (Grand Rapids: Baker, 1990), 97 (cf. 97–126).

between words and groups of words in the New Testament."<sup>2</sup> The following example shows the diagrammatical analysis of Revelation chapter 20.<sup>3</sup>

#### Revelation 20:1-15 Diagrammatical Analysis

```
1 Καὶ εἶδον
               ἄγγελον
       καταβαίνοντα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ
                   ἔχοντα
                     τὴν κλεῖν τῆς ἀβύσσου
                     άλυσιν μεγάλην ἐπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτοῦ.
2 καὶ ἐκράτησεν
                     τὸν δράκοντα,
                    ό ὄφις ό ἀρχαῖος,
                      ὄς ἐστιν
                     Διάβολος
                      καὶ
                     ό Σατανᾶς,
  καὶ ἔδησεν
                     αὐτὸν
                                  χίλια ἔτη
3 καὶ ἔβαλεν
                    αὐτὸν
                                  είς την ἄβυσσον καὶ
     ἔκλεισεν
      καὶ
     ἐσφράγισεν
                                  ἐπάνω αὐτοῦ,
        ίνα μὴ πλανήση
                                  ἔτι τὰ ἔθνη
                                  ἄχρι τελεσθῆ τὰ χίλια ἔτη.
μετὰ ταῦτα
     δεῖ λυθῆναι
                    αὐτὸν
                                  μικρὸν χρόνον.
             θρόνους καὶ
4 Καὶ εἶδον
   ἐκάθισαν ἐπ' αὐτοὺς καὶ
κρίμα
  έδόθη
             αὐτοῖς, καὶ
             τὰς ψυχὰς
                    τῶν πεπελεκισμένων
                           διὰ τὴν μαρτυρίαν Ἰησοῦ
                             καὶ
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> George H. Guthrie and J. Scott Duvall, *Biblical Greek Exegesis: A Graded Approach to Learning Intermediate and Advanced Greek* (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1998), 39. Guthrie and Duvall compile a chapter on "how to do semantic diagramming" that is quite helpful in proffering basic principles and thoughts on semantic diagramming (ibid., 39–53).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For other helpful examples of diagramming, consult Fordon D. Fee, *New Testament Exegesis: A Handbook for Students and Pastors*, 3rd ed. (Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2002), 41–58; Jay E. Smith, "Sentence Diagramming, Clausal Layouts, and Exegetical Outlining," in *Interpreting the New Testament Text: Introduction to the Art and Science of Exegesis*, ed. by Darrell L. Bock and Buist M. Fanning (Wheaton, IL: Crossway Books, 2006), 73–134; Young, *Intermediate New Testament Greek*, 267–77; Grant R. Osborne, *The Hermeneutical Spiral: A Comprehensive Introduction to Biblical Introduction* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1991), 27–40.

```
διὰ τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ
                     οἵτινες οὐ προσεκύνησαν
                                                τὸ θηρίον
                                                  οὐδὲ
                                                τὴν εἰκόνα αὐτοῦ καὶ
                      οὐκ
                    ἔλαβον τὸ χάραγμα
                                  έπὶ τὸ μέτωπον
                                    καὶ
                                  έπὶ τὴν χεῖρα αὐτῶν.
      ἔζησαν καὶ
καὶ
       έβασίλευσαν
                           μετὰ τοῦ Χριστοῦ
                                                χίλια ἔτη.
5 οί λοιποί
    τῶν νεκρῶν
        οὐκ
      ἔζησαν
                           ἄχρι τελεσθῆ
                                                τὰ χίλια ἔτη.
αύτη ή ἀνάστασις ή πρώτη.
6 μακάριος καὶ
  άγιος ὁ ἔχων
                                         έν τῆ ἀναστάσει τῆ πρώτη·
                           μέρος
         τούτων ὁ δεύτερος θάνατος
έπὶ
                             οὐκ ἔχει ἐξουσίαν,
άλλ'
          ἔσονται ἱερεῖς
                           τοῦ θεοῦ
                             καὶ
                           τοῦ Χριστοῦ καὶ
                           μετ' αὐτοῦ
          βασιλεύσουσιν
                                                τὰ χίλια ἔτη.
7 Καὶ ὅταν
                           τελεσθῆ
                                                τὰ χίλια ἔτη,
          λυθήσεται
ό Σατανᾶς
             έκ τῆς φυλακῆς αὐτοῦ
8 καὶ
          έξελεύσεται
                πλανῆσαι
                                  τὰ ἔθνη
                                  τὰ ἐν ταῖς τέσσαρσιν γωνίαις τῆς γῆς,
                                  τὸν Γὼγ καὶ Μαγώγ,
                                                είς τὸν πόλεμον,
                                  αὐτοὺς
                συναγαγεῖν
                                  ὧν ὁ ἀριθμὸς αὐτῶν
                                         ώς ή ἄμμος τῆς θαλάσσης.
                    ἐπὶ τὸ πλάτος τῆς γῆς καὶ
9 καὶ ἀνέβησαν
                        τὴν παρεμβολὴν τῶν ἁγίων καὶ
      ἐκύκλευσαν
                        την πόλιν την ήγαπημένην,
 καὶ κατέβη
    πῦρ
                    έκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καὶ
       κατέφαγεν
                        αὐτούς.
10 καὶ
    ό διάβολος
    ό πλανῶν αὐτοὺς
      έβλήθη είς τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς
```

```
καὶ
                                 θείου
ὅπου καὶ
   τὸ θηρίον καὶ
   ό ψευδοπροφήτης, καὶ
       βασανισθήσονται
                            ήμέρας
                             καὶ
                            νυκτὸς
                                   είς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.
11 Καὶ εἶδον
              θρόνον
                μέγαν
                λευκὸν καὶ
                           τὸν καθήμενον ἐπ' αὐτόν,
                           οὖ ἀπὸ τοῦ προσώπου
       ἔφυγεν
        ή γῆ
          καὶ
        ό οὐρανὸς καὶ
        τόπος οὐχ
       εύρέθη αὐτοῖς.
             τοὺς νεκρούς,
12 καὶ εἶδον
              τοὺς μεγάλους
               καὶ
              τοὺς μικρούς,
        έστῶτας ἐνώπιον τοῦ θρόνου.
καὶ βιβλία
              ήνοίχθησαν,
καὶ
  ἄλλο
              ήνοίχθη,
    βιβλίον
    ὅ ἐστιν τῆς ζωῆς, καὶ
              ἐκρίθησαν
    οί νεκροί
                     ἐκ τῶν γεγραμμένων
                                   έν τοῖς βιβλίοις
                                            κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν.
13 καὶ ἔδωκεν
             τοὺς νεκροὺς
ή θάλασσα
              τοὺς ἐν αὐτῆ καὶ
ό θάνατος
 καὶ
ό ἄδης ἔδωκαν τοὺς νεκροὺς
             τοὺς ἐν αὐτοῖς,
       ἐκρίθησαν
καὶ
              κατὰ τὰ ἔργα αὐτῶν.
 <sub>έκαστος</sub>
14 καὶ
ό θάνατος
```

καὶ

τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. οὖτος ό ἄδης ἐβλήθησαν είς

ὁ θάνατος ὁ δεύτερός ἐστιν, ἡ λίμνη τοῦ πυρός.

15 καὶ εἴ

τις οὐχ εὑρέθη ἐβλήθη τῆ βίβλω τῆς ζωῆς γεγραμμένος, τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός. ἐν

είς

# IDENTIFICATION OF THE ROLES OF THE CONSTITUENTS IN REVELATION 20:1–15

#### Introduction

Part of the responsibility of every exegete may be found in Paul's reminder to Timothy that πᾶσα γραφὴ θεόπνευστος (2 Tim 3:16). Even Solomon recognized that every word of God is found tried and true: אַלוֹבָה אַלְרַת אֱלוֹבָה (Prov 30:5). For this reason, the NT interpreter should carefully observe each constituent element in each verse and identify what kind of clause it is. This will aid the interpreter in finding the flow of the text and the various breaks throughout the text serving as discourse breakers.

#### Identification of the Constituents

This portion of the study will identify all of the constituents in Revelation 20:1–15 and seek to divide and organize the verses accordingly so one can easily see the structure through a cursory skimming of the eyes. Thus, here is the identification<sup>2</sup> of the roles of the constituents in Revelation 20:1–15.

<sup>1</sup> Then		<b>TEMPORAL</b>
I saw an angel coming do	own out of heaven having the key of the abys	ss PROPOSITION
and a great chain in his h	and.	ADDITION
<sup>2</sup> And		SEQUENCE
he seized the dragon, the	serpent of old, who is the Devil and Satan,	PROPOSITION
and		ADDITION
he bound him for a thous	and years	PROPOSITION
<sup>3</sup> And		SEQUENCE
he cast him into the Abys	ss and he shut and sealed it above him	PROPOSITION
so that		PURPOSE
he might not deceive the	nations any longer	PROPOSITION
until the thousand years a	are completed.	TEMPORAL
After these things		SEQUENCE
it is necessary for him to	be loosed for a short time.	PROPOSITION

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a fuller treatment, consult Micheal W. Palmer, *Levels of Constituent Structure in New Testament Greek*, Studies in Biblical Greek 4 (New York: Peter Lang, 1995), esp. 1–84.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a helpful list of semantic functions used in identifying constituents in the NT, see Guthrie and Duvall, *Biblical Greek Exegesis*, 43–44.

4	TI		CECHENCE
	Then		SEQUENCE
		I saw thrones and they sat upon them	PROPOSITION
	and		ADDITION
		judgment was given to them,	PROPOSITION
	and		ADDITION
		the souls of those who had been beheaded because of the testimony of	PROPOSITION
		Jesus and because of the Word of God	
	and		ADDITION
		those who did not worship the beast nor his image	PROPOSITION
	and		ADDITION
		who did not receive the mark upon their forehead or upon their hand.	PROPOSITION
	And		SEQUENCE
		they came to life	RESULT
	and		ADDITION
		reigned with Christ a thousand years.	PROPOSITION
5		The rest of the dead did not come to live until the thousand years were	PROPOSITION
		completed.	11101 0511101
		This is the first resurrection.	SUMMARY
6		Blessed and holy is he who has a part in the first resurrection.	BLESSING
		Over these the second death has no authority,	SUMMARY
	but	ever these the second death has no additing,	CONTRAST
	out	they will be priests of God and of Christ	PROPOSITION
	and	they will be priests of God and of Christ	ADDITION
	and	they shall reign with him for a thousand years.	PROPOSITION
7	And	they shall leigh with him for a thousand years.	ADDITION
	Allu	when the thousand years are completed, Satan shall be loosed from his	TEMPORAL
		•	TEMFORAL
8	and	prison	ADDITION
	anu	he shall go out to deceive the nations who are in the four corners of the	PROPOSITION
		he shall go out to deceive the nations who are in the four corners of the	FROFOSITION
		earth—Gog and Magog—to gather them for the war; whose number is	
9	۸1	as the sand of the seashore.	ADDITION
	And	there recent you therewale the horse deby of the court	ADDITION
	1	they went up through the breadth of the earth	EXTENT
	and	1.14 64 14 14 1.1	ADDITION
	1 4	surrounded the camp of the saints and the beloved city,	LOCATION
	but		CONTRAST
		fire came down from heaven	PROPOSITION
	and	1 1.1	ADDITION
1(	) , ,	devoured them.	PROPOSITION
-	<sup>0</sup> And		ADDITION
		the devil who deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone	PROPOSITION
		where the beast and the false prophet are,	LOCATION
	and		ADDITION
1	ــــا	they shall be tormented day and night forever and ever.	RESULT
1	<sup>1</sup> Ther		SEQUENCE
		I saw a great white throne	PROPOSITION

1		ADDITION
and		ADDITION
	Him who sat upon it,	PROPOSITION
from		SEPARATION
	whose presence earth and heaven fled	<b>PROPOSITION</b>
and	-	ADDITION
	there was not found a place for them.	PROPOSITION
<sup>12</sup> Ther	]	SEQUENCE
	I saw the dead—the great and the small—standing before the throne.	PROPOSITION
And		ADDITION
	books were opened.	PROPOSITION
And	1	ADDITION
	another book was opened, which is the Book of Life,	PROPOSITION
and	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ADDITION
	the dead were judged by the things written in the books according to their deeds.	PROPOSITION
13 And		SEQUENCE
Allu		-
1	the sea gave up the dead which were in it and death	PROPOSITION
and		ADDITION
	Hades gave up the dead which were in them,	PROPOSITION
and		ADDITION
	they were judged—each one—according to their deeds.	PROPOSITION
<sup>14</sup> And		SEQUENCE
	death and Hades were cast into the Lake of Fire.	<b>PROPOSITION</b>
	This is the second death—the Lake of Fire.	SUMMARY
15 And		ADDITION
	if anyone was not found written in the Book of Life,	CONDITION
	he was cast into the Lake of Fire.	RESULT

From the preceding analysis of the constituents, the interpreter recognizes how many proposition, addition, sequence constituent clauses that closely link this chapter together in a cohesive whole. It is clearly a narrative-type discourse as John recounts the vision in Revelation 20.

Furthermore, there are a number of condition, result, and temporal constituent clauses intermingled throughout the chapter.

#### DISCOURSE ANALYSIS OF REVELATION 20:1–15

#### Definition of Discourse Analysis

Communication takes place when words, ideas, clauses, sentences, and paragraphs are all conjoined together to form a discourse. Cotterell and Turner have recognized this:

It is becoming increasingly clear that all discourse is carefully structured so as to ensure some measure of development through the discourse. To put it at the most elementary level, discourse has a beginning, a middle and an end, and the beginning could not be confused with the end: the parts could not randomly be interchanged and still leave recognizable discourse."

Indeed, any conversation, book, discourse, or means of communication has a sort of "discourse structure" to it. At the risk of oversimplification, this is what discourse analysis<sup>2</sup> is. The starting point of discourse analysis resides in the supposition that "language is not used in isolated words or even sentence, but occurs in larger units called discourses." That is to say, "in discourse we have sequences, words which are grammatically related and semantically connected, and this grammatical and semantic relatedness extends across sentence and paragraph boundaries to embrace the entire discourse."

Discourse analysis (also called "textlinguistics") comprises three fundamental tenets:

(1) it is concerned on larger units of language such as paragraphs, sections, and entire texts

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Peter Cotterell and Max Turner, *Linguistics and Biblical Interpretation* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1989), 230.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a simplified survey of the technicalities in the field of discourse analysis, see Richard A. Young, *Intermediate New Testament Greek: A Linguistic and Exegetical Approach* (Nashville: Broadman & Holman Publishers, 1994), 247–66. For a more complex and technical study, see Terrance R. Wardlaw, Jr., "Discourse Analysis," in *Words & the Word: Explorations in Biblical Interpretation and Literary Theory*, ed. by David G. Firth and Jamie A. Grant (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press Academic, 2008), 266–317.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Stanley E. Porter, *Idioms of the Greek New Testament*, Biblical Langauges: Greek, 2nd ed. (Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1999), 298.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cotterell and Turner, *Linguistics*, 247. Erickson writes: "I can scarcely exaggerate the importance of grasping this exegetical principle: the meaning of a text is in large measure determined by its internal structure and by its place within the structure of its broader context" (Richard J. Erickson, A Beginner's Guide to New Testament Exegesis: Taking the Fear out of Critical Method [Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2005], 71).

('macrostructures'); (2) it is concerned with a text's cohesion and coherence. Cohesion is a syntactic category and refers to the means of linking sentences into larger syntactical units. Coherence, on the other hand, is a semantic dimension of meaning and refers to the various ways in which readers make sense of a text; and (3) it takes into account all the relevant situational features that shape it, such as the place of writing, occasion, and readers' circumstances.<sup>5</sup>

That meaning is found in the relationship of words to sentences which are related to entire paragraphs that are inextricably linked to the complete discourse is a fundamental component of discourse analysis. Thus, as Porter concurs: "the micro-structures are the smaller units (such as words, phrases, clauses, sentences and even pericopes and paragraphs) which make up macro-structures. The macro-structures are the units of discourse which convey the large thematic ideas which help govern the interpretation of the micro-structures." In a word, discourse analysis endeavors to answer the question: "how do sentences cluster together to form paragraphs, paragraphs to form larger sections, and so on?" One major caveat must be said and that is that this idea of discourse analysis ought not proffer a way for the exegete to "read into" a text. The interpreter must always adhere to the text of Scripture and the discourse in front of him and not go beyond that text. Principles of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> David Alan Black, *Linguistics for Students of New Testament Greek: A Survey of Basic Concepts and Applications*, 2nd ed. (Grand Rapids: Baker, 1995), 171; cf. George H. Guthrie, "Discourse Analysis," in *Interpreting the New Testament: Essays on Methods and Issues*, ed. by David Alan Black and David S. Dockery (Nashville: Broadman & Holman, 2001), 253–71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Porter, *Idioms*, 300.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Richard J. Erickson, *A Beginner's Guide to New Testament Exegesis: Taking the Fear out of Critical Method* (Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2005), 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> J. P. Louw, "Reading a Text as Discourse," in *Linguistics and New Testament Interpretation: Essays on Discourse Analysis*, ed. by David Alan Black (Nashville: Broadman Press, 1992), 19. Later he writes: "discourse analysis should never be an aid to overinterpreting a passage. It is rather a type of translation. Its real value lies in lettering the reader see the communication in its full extent—not merely verse by verse—so that the subsequent detail comments may not violate the overall message (21).

communication make up an essential core of discourse analysis. The roles of the speaker and listener (or, writer and reader) are closely connected in this discipline. In a well-spoken word, Brown and Yule summarize:

We shall consider words, phrases and sentences which appear in the textual record of a discourse to be evidence of an attempt by a producer (speaker / writer) to communicate his message to a recipient (hearer / reader). We shall be particularly interested in discussing how a recipient might come to comprehend the producer's intended message on a particular occasion, and how the requirements of the particular recipient(s), in definable circumstances, influence the organization of the producer's discourse. This is clearly an approach which takes the communicative function of language as its primary area of investigation and consequently seeks to describe linguistic form, not as a static object, but as a dynamic means of expressing intended meaning.

#### Discourse Analysis of Revelation 20:1–15

Scholars have scarcely agreed on a structure for the book of Revelation. <sup>10</sup> G. K. Beale notes that "there is radical disagreement about the literary outline of Revelation 17–22." <sup>11</sup> Though it lies beyond the purview of this paper to examine the structure of the entire book of Revelation, hopefully this section sheds some light on the discourse features and markers in Revelation 20 that connect it with the rest of the book to see its cohesion and coherence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Gillian Brown and George Yule, *Discourse Analysis*, Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1983), 24.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> For a sampling of the materials available on the structure of the Apocalypse, see Christopher R. Smith, "The Structure of the Book of Revelation in Light of Apocalyptic Literary Conventions," *NovT* 36, no. 4 (1994): 373–93 (Smith argues for a structure based on the phrase "in the Spirit"); Charles H. Giblin, "Structural and Thematic Correlations in the Theology of revelation 16–22, *Bib* 55, no. 4 (1974): 487–504 (Giblin deals specifically with chs 16–22 and demonstrates a structural analysis of the chapters); Elisabeth Schüssler Fiorenza, "Composition and Structure of the Book of Revelation," *CBQ* 39, no. 3 (July 1977): 355–66; G. K. Beale, "The Influence of Daniel upon the Structure and Theology of John's Apocalypse," *JETS* 27, no. 4 (Dec 1984): 413–23; David A. deSilva, "Honor Discourse and the Rhetorical Strategy of the Apocalypse of John," *JSNT* 71 (1998): 79–110; José Adriano Filho, "The Apocalypse of John as an Account of Visionary Experience: Notes on the Book's Structure," *JSNT* 25, no. 2 (2002): 213–34; Felise Tavo, "The Structure of the Apocalypse: Re-Examining a Perennial Problem." *NovT* 47, no. 1 (2005): 47–68.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> G. K. Beale, *The Book of Revelation*, NIGTC (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing, 1999), 109. Revelation 1:19 may provide some structural hints for a proper structural division of the book (see Robert L. Thomas, *Revelation 1–7: An Exegetical Commentary* [Chicago: Moody Press, 1992], 43).

The first marker the student who examines the Apocalypse with scrutiny notices is that the phrase  $\kappa\alpha$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\delta}$   $\hat{\delta}$  occurs 32 times in the book. Revelation 20:1 begins with this phrase  $\kappa\alpha$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\delta}$   $\hat{\delta}$   $\hat{\epsilon}$  which ties it together with the rest of the book both before and after chapter  $20.^{12}$  Ka  $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\epsilon}$   $\hat{\delta}$  occurs in 20:1, 4, 11, and 12 and could function as a literary discourse marker functioning as a way to divide the text.

Another phrase all commentators recognize as prominent in this section is  $\chi i \lambda \iota \alpha$   $\xi \tau \eta$  which occurs 6 times (20:2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7). Refusing to delve into all the theological ramifications as to whether one should take this phrase as a literal thousand years or not, the point here is that the phrase occurs six times in these verses which seem to rhetorically function as a prominent feature in this apocalyptic episode.

John incorporates the phrase  $\mu$ ετὰ ταῦτα a number of times in the Apocalypse to reveal succession in events (e.g., 4:1; 7:9; 15:5; 18:1; 19:1). The phrase also occurs in 20:3. This could also be seen as a structural device for analyzing and dividing the book. If one would incorporate this phrase as a structural component in the book it could help divide the book into various sections and subsections (e.g., chs. 1–3, 4–18, 19–22).

Furthermore, in 20:6 John writes: μακάριος καὶ ἄγιος ὁ ἔχων μέρος ἐν τῆ ἀναστάσει τῆ πρώτη. The μακάριος ("blessed one") finds its way in John's Revelation numerous times (see, e.g., 1:3; 14:13; 16:15; 19:9; 22:7, and 14). In 20:6 the blessing is upon the individuals who have a part in the first resurrection who have believed in Christ. These will play a part during the millennial kingdom and reign with Christ for 1,000 years (20:4). The Apocalypse

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> It is beyond the purpose of this paper to examine whether the phrase καὶ εἶδον marks chronological succession of events or simply a discourse marker. For a helpful discussion, see Grant R. Osborne, *Revelation*, BECNT (Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2002), 29.

pronounces a μακάριος upon the one who hears, reads, and obeys the words contained in it (cf. 1:3; 22:7).

John's theology that eternity exists forever and ever without end can easily be found with his oft repeated phrase εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων which occurs 12 times (1:6, 18; 4:9; 4:10; 5:13; 7:12; 10:6; 11:15; 15:7; 19:3; and 22:5). This phrase occurs in 20:10 when John refers to the Devil who deceived the nations when he will be cast into the Lake of Fire with the wicked where they will be tormented day and night εἰς τοὺς αἰῶνας τῶν αἰώνων.

More notably, in this specific context John utilizes the phrase τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς as a sort of inclusio structure which links chapter 20 together in the same thought with this identical "bookend" (19:20; 20:15; cp. 20:10, 14). In 19:20 at the second coming of Jesus Christ to earth, the beast and the false prophet are thrown into the τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς. In 20:10, the devil is cast into the τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρὸς and at the Great White Throne judgment everyone whose name is not found in the Book of Life is cast into the τὴν λίμνην τοῦ πυρός (20:15). Rhetorically, John drives home the horrific reality that the eternal Lake of Fire awaits the devil, his angels, his messengers, and all unbelievers whose names are not found in the Book of Life.

Shifting to the syntax one recognizes that the chapter predominates with perfective aspect forms (Aorist, 64%). The imperfective aspect forms are much less frequent and heighten the readers awareness to the respective elements discussed (Present/Imperfect, 19%). John brings only a couple of elements to the frontground as he heightens the markedness with the stative aspect (Perfect, 12%).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See the pertinent discussion in Erickson, A Beginner's Guide to New Testament Exegesis, 63–67.

#### INTERPRETATION OF REVELATION 20:11–15

#### *Interpretation*

The insights gleaned from verbal aspect, constituent analysis, diagrammatical analysis and discourse analysis reveal helpful insights into John's purpose, point, and peak of this section and the role it plays in the Apocalypse at large. The interpreter should not resort to opting out of studying this crucial book in the NT by simply saying "it will all pan out in the end." Undoubtedly, Revelation is a hard book, but it was never intended to be a closed book (cf. 22:10). Here are some of the beneficial truths from Revelation 20 briefly summarized.

The structural marking phrase  $\kappa\alpha$   $\epsilon$   $\tilde{\iota}$   $\delta$ o $\nu$  links 20:1 with the preceding paragraphs where the same phrase occurs (19:11, 17, and 19). Not only does it link anaphorically with what precedes but it also links cataphorically with what follows as  $\kappa\alpha$   $\tilde{\iota}$   $\tilde{\iota}$   $\delta$ o $\nu$  occurs in 20:4, 11 and 21:1. Chapter 20 obviously reveals the details that a period of  $\chi$   $\tilde{\iota}$   $\lambda$   $\iota$   $\tilde{\iota}$  shall come to pass on the earth before a final judgment when all whose names are not found written in the Book of Life are cast into the Lake of Fire (20:14, 15). One wonders, from a literary perspective, how many times an author would have to repeat a phrase if he intended it to be understood in its plain and normal fashion.

Employing verbal aspect as a way of looking at the verbs and seeing how John wants to portray the various events reveals the following truths. The perfective aspect (Aorist verbal forms) obviously carries the narrative as John sees and writes his visions down. The perfective aspect here serves as the background tense which carries the narrative along. It could be termed the "default" verb form with no heightened prominence attached to the particular action.

There are, however, a number of imperfect verbs that bring a bit more emphasis upon the action as John wants to portray it. The imperfective shows the action as if one were observing it going on in progress. The heightened emphasis, however, lands upon the few stative forms John incorporates in his narrative. There are only five statives in this chapter and John focuses the spotlight upon these forms by bringing them to the frontground. In 20:4 John writes that he sees those in heaven who have been πεπελεκισμένων because of the testimony of Christ and because of the Word of God worshipping the Lord Jesus. The final war of all time contains the second stative form here as John emphatically reveals that Satan, upon being released from his prison after the thousand year millennium, will gather his minions to surround the τὴν πόλιν τὴν ἡγαπημένην and attempt one final time to overcome Jesus Christ (20:9). Third, as John conveys the vision of the Great White Throne judgment he sees all peoples who have refused Jesus Christ—the great and the small—ἐστῶτας before the throne of God (20:12). The prominence here reveals that no one can flee since there is no where to hide. All are gathered; all are standing; all await their final sentence. The final two stative forms give prominence to the reality that those at this final judgment will be judged according to their deeds which have been τῶν γεγραμμένων in the books (20:12) and those whose names are not found γεγραμμένος in the Lamb's Book of Life shall be thrown into the Lake of Fire (20:15).

#### Conclusion

In applying these particular hermeneutical sciences to Revelation 20 this paper has shown that the exegetical disciplines such as verbal aspect, discourse analysis, constituent structure, and diagrammatical analysis greatly enhance one's study of the NT text. Utilizing verbal aspect to the text of Revelation 20 serves as a successful test case in showing John's

emphasis by the way that he chooses to express the various verbal actions (the few stative aspect forms are significant). The constituent structure reveals the cohesive flow of John's thought as he shows progression and sequence of action as he recounts his vision. Then, diagrammatical analysis of the Greek text proves helpful as it reveals the structural elements in the discourse and how the phrases are constructed in the text to highlight subordinate and parallel thoughts. Finally, the discipline of discourse analysis reveals the cohesiveness of Revelation 20 with the rest of the book of Revelation and the immediate context. Though Revelation 20 proves to be one of the most hotly debated passages in the Bible regarding eschatology, the thrust of John's argument and the heightened factors that *he* intends to highlight can be marked in applying these various exegetical tools and sciences to the passage.

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Aune, David E. *Revelation 1–5*. Word Biblical Commentary. Dallas: Word Books, 1997.
- Beale, G. K. *The Book of Revelation*. New International Greek Testament Commentary. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing, 1999.
- ——. "The Influence of Daniel upon the Structure and Theology of John's Apocalypse." *Journal for the Evangelical Theological Society* 27, no. 4 (December 1984): 413–23.
- Black, David Alan. Linguistics for Students of New Testament Greek: A Survey of Basic Concepts and Applications. 2nd edition. Grand Rapids: Baker, 1995.
- Briggs, Charles Augustus. *General Introduction to the Study of Holy Scripture: The Principles, Methods, History, and Results of Its Several Departments and of the Whole*, 3 volumes. Revised edition. Reprint, New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1900; Grand Rapids: Baker, 1970.
- Brown, Gillian and George Yule. *Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge Textbooks in Linguistics. New York: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Brown, Jeannine K. *Scripture as Communication: Introducing Biblical Hermeneutics*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2007.
- Carson, D. A. "An Introduction to the Porter/Fanning Debate." In *Biblical Greek Language and Linguistics: Open Questions in Current Research*. Edited by Stanley E. Porter and D. A. Carson, 18–25. JSNTSup 80. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1993.
- Charles, R. H. A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Revelation of St. John. International Critical Commentary, 2 volumes. Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 1971.
- Cotterell, Peter and Max Turner. *Linguistics and Biblical Interpretation*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1989.
- Decker, Rodney J. Temporal Deixis of the Greek Verb in the Gospel of Mark with Reference to Verbal Aspect. Studies in Biblical Greek 10. New York: Peter Lang, 2001.
- deSilva, David A. "Honor Discourse and the Rhetorical Strategy of the Apocalypse of John." *Journal for the Study of the New Testament* 71 (1998): 79–110.
- Erickson, Richard J. A Beginner's Guide to New Testament Exegesis: Taking the Fear out of Critical Method. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2005.

- Fee, Fordon D. *New Testament Exegesis: A Handbook for Students and Pastors*. 3rd edition. Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2002.
- Filho, José Adriano. "The Apocalypse of John as an Account of Visionary Experience: Notes on the Book's Structure." *Journal for the Study of the New Testament* 25, no. 2 (2002): 213–34.
- Fiorenza, Elisabeth Schüssler. "Composition and Structure of the Book of Revelation." *Catholic Biblical Quarterly* 39, no. 3 (July 1977): 355–66.
- Ford, J. Massyngberde. *Revelation: Introduction, Translation and Commentary*. Anchor Bible. Garden City, NY: Doubleday & Company, 1975.
- Giblin, Charles H. "Structural and Thematic Correlations in the Theology of revelation 16–22. *Biblica* 55, no. 4 (1974): 487–504.
- Green, Joel B. "Discourse Analysis and New Testament Interpretation." In *Hearing the New Testament: Strategies for Interpretation*. Edited by Joel B. Green, 175–96. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing, 1995.
- Guthrie, George H. "Discourse Analysis." In *Interpreting the New Testament: Essays on Methods and Issues*. Edited by David Alan Black and David S. Dockery, 253–71. Nashville: Broadman & Holman, 2001.
- Guthrie, George H. and J. Scott Duvall. *Biblical Greek Exegesis: A Graded Approach to Learning Intermediate and Advanced Greek*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1998.
- Hayes, John H. and Carl R. Holladay. *Biblical Exegesis: A Beginner's Handbook*. 3rd edition. Louisville: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.
- Louw, J. P. "Reading a Text as Discourse." In *Linguistics and New Testament Interpretation: Essays on Discourse Analysis*. Edited by David Alan Black, 17–30. Nashville: Broadman Press, 1992.
- McKay, K. L. A New Syntax of the Verb in New Testament Greek: An Aspectual Approach. Studies in Biblical Greek 5. New York: Peter Lang, 1994.
- Osborne, Grant R. *The Hermeneutical Spiral: A Comprehensive Introduction to Biblical Introduction*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1991.
- ——. "Recent Trends in the Study of the Apocalypse." In *The Face of New Testament Studies: A Survey of Recent Research*. Edited by Scot McKnight and Grant R. Osborne, 473–504. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2004.

- ——. *Revelation*. Baker Exegetical Commentary on the New Testament. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2002.
- Palmer, Micheal W. Levels of Constituent Structure in New Testament Greek. Studies in Biblical Greek 4. New York: Peter Lang, 1995.
- Picirilli, Robert E. "The Meaning of the Tenses in New Testament Greek: Where Are We?" *Journal for the Evangelical Theological Society* 48, no. 3 (September 2005): 533–55.
- Porter, Stanley E. "Greek Grammar and Syntax." In *The Face of New Testament Studies: A Survey of Recent Research*. Edited by Scot McKnight and Grant R. Osborne, 77–103. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2004.
- ——. "The Greek Language of the New Testament." In *Handbook to Exegesis of the New Testament*. Edited by Stanley E. Porter, 99–130. Leiden: Brill, 2002.
- ———. *Idioms of the Greek New Testament*. Biblical Languages: Greek 2. 2nd edition. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1999.
- ———. Verbal Aspect in the Greek of the New Testament, with Reference to Tense and Mood. Studies in Biblical Greek 1. New York: Peter Lang, 1993.
- Porter, Stanley E. and Kent D. Clarke, "What is Exegesis? An Analysis of Various Definitions." In *Handbook to Exegesis of the New Testament*. Edited by Stanley E. Porter, 3–21. Leiden: Brill, 2002.
- Reed, Jeffrey T. "Discourse Analysis as New Testament Hermeneutic: A Retrospective and Prospective Appraisal." *Journal for the Evangelical Theological Society* 39, no. 2 (June 1996): 223–40.
- Schreiner, Thomas R. *Interpreting the Pauline Epistles*. Guides to New Testament Exegesis 5. Grand Rapids: Baker, 1990.
- Silva, Moises. "A Response to Fanning and Porter on Verbal Aspect." In *Biblical Greek Language and Linguistics: Open Questions in Current Research*. Edited by Stanley E. Porter and D. A. Carson, 74–82. JSNTSup 80. Sheffield: Sheffield Academic Press, 1993.
- ———. *Interpreting Galatians: Explorations in Exegetical Method.* 2nd edition. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2001.
- Smith, Christopher R. "The Structure of the Book of Revelation in Light of Apocalyptic Literary Conventions." *Novum Testamentum* 36, no. 4 (1994): 373–93.

- Smith, Jay E. "Sentence Diagramming, Clausal Layouts, and Exegetical Outlining." In *Interpreting the New Testament Text: Introduction to the Art and Science of Exegesis*. Edited by Darrell L. Bock and Buist M. Fanning, 73–134. Wheaton, IL: Crossway Books, 2006.
- Tavo, Felise. "The Structure of the Apocalypse: Re-Examining a Perennial Problem." *Novum Testamentum* 47, no. 1 (2005): 47–68.
- Thomas, Robert L. *Revelation 1–7: An Exegetical Commentary*. Chicago: Moody Press, 1992.
- Wallace, Daniel B. *Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics: An Exegetical Syntax of the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1996.
- Wardlaw, Terrance R., Jr. "Discourse Analysis." In *Words & the Word: Explorations in Biblical Interpretation and Literary Theory*. Edited by David G. Firth and Jamie A. Grant, 266–317. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press Academic, 2008.
- Young, Richard A. *Intermediate New Testament Greek: A Linguistic and Exegetical Approach.* Nashville: Broadman & Holman Publishers, 1994.